| Properties   | ROS  | SMOTE  | SMOTE+TL  | SMOTE+ENN  | CBO+Random  | Borderline-<br>SMOTE1                             | Borderline-<br>SMOTE-2   | AHC  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Replication/<br>Synthesization<br>of examples                  | Replication  | Synthesization   | Synthesization  | Synthesization   | Replication   | Synthesization                                    | Synthesization   | Synthesization   |
| Takes into account<br>the majority exam-<br>ples neighbourhood | 0  | 0  | •   | •  | Not directly, but through clustering  | •   | •  | Not directly, but through clustering   |
| Considers a tax-<br>onomy of minority<br>data                  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | Noise, Danger,<br>Safe                            | Noise, Danger,<br>Safe   | 0  |
| Overlapping is per-<br>formed in specific<br>area(s)           | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | Borderline Regions                                | Borderline Regions   | 0  |
| Cluster-based Over-<br>sampling                                | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | •   | 0   | 0  | •  |
| Oversampling of minority class                                 | •  | •  | •   | •  | •   | •   | •  | •  |
| Oversampling of<br>majority class                              | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | •   | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| Minority examples<br>are assigned differ-<br>ent weights       | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| Neighbourhood-<br>based oversampling                           | 0  | •  | •   | •  | •   | •   | •  | •  |
| Includes a cleaning-<br>based procedure                        | 0  | 0  | •   | •  | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| SMOTE-based syn-<br>thesization                                | o  | •  | •   | •  | o   | •   | SMOTE-like, but<br>also considering<br>the nearest<br>majority neighbour | o  |
| Performs a filtering procedure                                 | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | Noise and Safe<br>examples are not<br>oversampled | Noise and Safe<br>examples are not<br>oversampled                        | 0  |
| Provides perfect balancing                                     | •  | •  | •   | •  | •   | •   | •  | •  |
| Advantages   | Simplest of<br>oversampling<br>techniques  | Allows generation<br>of synthetic<br>examples, creating<br>larger and less<br>specific decision<br>regions   | Alleviates<br>SMOTE's<br>problem of<br>overgeneralization | Alleviates SMOTE's<br>problem of<br>overgeneralization.<br>Provides a deeper<br>cleaning than<br>SMOTE+TL. | Eases the<br>problem of small<br>disjuncts  | Strengthens the borderline minority<br>examples   |  | Considers the<br>structure of data<br>(both minority<br>and majority<br>examples),<br>through<br>clustering. |
| Disadvantages  | Prone to<br>overfitting, due to<br>replication of a<br>random subset of<br>minority<br>examples. | fitting, due to     May generate       instances in     May augment unnecessary safe       lication of a     overlapping and       lom subset of     noise regions.       minority     Definition of |   | Prone to<br>overfitting, due to<br>ROS. Definition<br>of the number of<br>clusters                         | May generate instand<br>and noise regions.<br>identify borderline e<br>in some scenarios<br>k-neighbo | Computationally<br>expensive                      |  |  |

 TABLE VIII

 Intrinsic characteristics of oversampling methods. The sign "•" indicates the presence of a specific property, while "o" indicates its absence.

| Properties   | ADASYN   | SPIDER1  | SPIDER2  | ADOMS  | Safe-Level-<br>SMOTE  | CBO+SMOTE  | MWMOTE   |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Replication/<br>Synthesization<br>of examples                  | Synthesization   | Replication  | Replication  | Synthesization   | Synthesization  | Synthesization   | Synthesization   |
| Takes into account<br>the majority exam-<br>ples neighbourhood | ٠  | •  | •  | 0  | •   | Not directly, but through clustering   | ٠  |
| Considers a tax-<br>onomy of minority<br>data                  | 0  | Both minority and<br>majority examples are<br>flagged as Noise or Safe   | Both minority and<br>majority examples<br>are flagged as Noise<br>or Safe  | 0  | Safe and Noise  | 0  | Noise, Borderline, Sparse<br>and Dense clusters  |
| Overlapping is per-<br>formed in specific<br>area(s)           | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | Safe Regions  | 0  | •  |
| Cluster-based Over-<br>sampling                                | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | •  | •  |
| Oversampling of<br>minority class                              | •  | •  | •  | •  | •   | •  | •  |
| Oversampling of<br>majority class                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | •  | 0  |
| Minority examples<br>are assigned differ-<br>ent weights       | $w_i$  | 0  | 0  | 0  | $sl_{ratio}$  | 0  | $S_w$  |
| Neighbourhood-<br>based oversampling                           | ٠  | •  | •  | Computes PCA<br>of local data<br>distribution  | •   | •  | ٠  |
| Includes a cleaning-<br>based procedure                        | 0  | •  | •  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| SMOTE-based syn-<br>thesization                                | •  | 0  | 0  | •  | •   | •  | SMOTE-like, in clusters  |
| Performs a filtering procedure                                 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0  | Noise examples are not<br>oversampled  |
| Provides perfect<br>balancing                                  | •  | 0  | 0  | •  | •   | •  | •  |
| Advantages   | Minority examples<br>surrounded by majority<br>examples are oversampled<br>more often: decision<br>boundary is more focused<br>on these difficult examples | When relabelling is used,<br>the oversampling<br>procedure is similar to<br>SMOTE, without the<br>problem of<br>overgeneralization   | Addresses the<br>deterioration of<br>majority class found<br>in SPIDER   | Considers the<br>k-<br>neighbourhood<br>of minority<br>data more<br>properly.                | Strengthens the<br>safe minority<br>examples, easing<br>the problem of<br>small disjuncts.<br>Avoids the<br>augmentation of<br>noise regions. | Eases the problem<br>of small disjuncts.<br>Eases the problem<br>of<br>overgeneralization. | Weights of minority<br>examples depend on their<br>importance for<br>classification. Alleviates<br>the problem of small<br>disjuncts. Avoids the<br>problem of SMOTE-based<br>sintetization of samples |
| Disadvantages  | Parameter used to define<br>weights for minority class<br>could be inappropriate.<br>Definition of<br>k-neighbourhood                                      | Choice of amplification<br>type: may augment noisy<br>regions or cause a<br>deterioration in the<br>majority class. Replication<br>of existing minority<br>examples. Re-labelling<br>examples might not be<br>acceptable in some<br>domains. | Replication of<br>existing minority<br>examples.<br>Re-labelling<br>examples might not<br>be acceptable in<br>some domains. May<br>replicate undesired<br>noise. | Same issues of<br>SMOTE by not<br>considering the<br>distribution of<br>majority<br>examples | Definition of<br>k-neighbourhood<br>May generate<br>inconsistent data.  | Definition of the<br>number of clusters  | Need to specify a<br>threshold for clustering<br>procedure. Definition of<br>k-neighbourhood   |

## Table VIII: Continued from previous page.